

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JESSE OLIVAR,

11 Plaintiff,

CIV S-03-0891 GGH PS

12 vs.

13 JOHN E. POTTER,

14 Defendant.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 On June 26, 2006, this court issued an order which in part modified previous
17 scheduling orders. On that date, defendant filed “objections” which this court construes as a
18 motion for reconsideration. Defendant requests that the schedule be modified to extend the time
19 for filing dispositive motions which had not been addressed in the order. The order directed that
20 all discovery be completed by August 24, 2006, with motions heard by August 10, 2006. The
21 Final Pretrial Conference was set for October 30, 2006, at 9:00 a.m. Jury trial was set for
22 November 27, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. The August 10 cutoff was set for *discovery* motions to be
23 heard. The court neglected to extend the date for dispositive motions. Therefore, defendant’s
24 request is granted.

25 \\\

26 \\\

1 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that in addition to the modifications set forth in
2 the June 26, 2006 order, the deadline for hearing dispositive motions is September 21, 2006.

3 DATED: 6/28/06

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows

GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6 GGH:076
olivar0891.,mod.wpd